

HUSH MAR 12 1960 APOLOGIZE TO HOMOS P. 11

"Sir: If "Truth is mighty and will prevail" it will obviously do so in spite of the efforts of "Hush" - at least insofar as the subject of homosexuality is concerned.

If a more distorted, error-laden, vicious, hysterical diatribe of pure venom has ever been printed than your article "Homos Can Be Dangerous" (Feb 27, 1960) I have not read it. ^{To compare} ~~Now~~ men such as Gielgud, Tchaikofsky (or Gide, Housman, Whitman, Shakespeare or Michelangelo and ^{Provst}) with "the ones who ravish women and" sometimes kill children is to carry sheer idiocy entirely too far. I suggest to ~~you that~~ ^{you that} the man who wrote this ^{piece is thoroughly} ~~is~~ sick and needs medical attention.

Without attempting to answer each of the ^{many completely} ~~erroneous~~ statements ^{(although every one} ~~which~~ could be demolished with ease), I will merely point out ^{that there have been published in} ~~in~~ the past five years or so, a number of Commission and Committee reports: Roman Catholic Committee on Homosexuality and Prostitution, Report of the Moral Welfare Council of the Church of England, Report of the American Law Society and the recent Wolfenden Committee Report - in each case (and a numbers of others not mentioned) ^{where} the findings of these ^{bodies} ~~committees~~ have been in diametric oppositon to the sentiments and statements expressed in your "Homos Can Be Dangerous" article.

Since the findings of the various bodies were based upon testimony from ^{hundreds of} the most distinguished experts in their

vario/us fields, it seems reasonable to assume that the conclusion were, at least to a considerable extent, valid.

Thus, publication of an article such as yours - entirely apart from the harm it may do to the homosexual - is an insult to the intelligence of any of your readers with a vestige of sense, and ~~it~~ indicates, on your part, an utter disregard and ^{an} abandonment of all editorial responsibility and ethics. The least you could do would be to read a copy of the Wolfenden Report and then print an apology to the homosexual ~~community~~ ^{minority} for any further harm your vicious article may have caused, or, are you in the least bit interested in printing the truth as you so loudly claim ~~if~~ ^{if} it means giving up the ~~bait~~ ^{bait} ~~hook~~ ^{hook} of a minority to titillate the ^Papetites of those really "queer" folk who enjoy the sufferings of others?

James Eagan

Beamsville, Ontario

If the shoe fits - -

Editor.